

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

, APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/006,171	12/04/2001	Jeremy Burr	5038-138	2907	
32231 7	590 08/15/2005	EXAMINER			
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C. 210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400			TRAN, HENRY N		
PORTLAND,	•	E 400	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2674	· ·	
			DATE MAILED: 08/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/006,1	71	BURR ET AL.			
		Examine	Г	Art Unit			
		Henry N.	Tran	2674			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN IT IS COMMUNION IN IT IN IT IS COMMUNION IN IT I	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no exunication. of days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	vent, however, may a reply tutory minimum of thirty (3 vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS plication to become ABANI	be timely filed  0) days will be considered time  5 from the mailing date of this  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
Status		•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 28 April 2005.					
· —	·	b)☐ This action is i	non-final.				
3)□	·						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the all 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from co					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to			=	· ·		
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachmen							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infori	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PT	O-152)		

The Amendment received April 28, 2005 have been thoroughly considered; and this Office action is in response thereto.

# Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

The new claim element and limitation: "a source loop solenoid having an axis substantially perpendicular to a planar surface of the base unit" recited in claim 1 has no clear support or antecedent basis in the specification. The term "axis", "perpendicular", and "planar surface" are not exist in the specification.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-8,10-12, 14, 18-20, 22-24 and 26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Application Laid-Open No. P2001-15994A to Shimono in view of US Patent Application Publication 2002/0036621 to Liu et al. (Liu).

Shimono in view of Liu teaches all the claim elements and limitations as recited in paragraphs 5-26 of the prior Office action.

Liu further teaches that the source loop solenoid 34 is formed of one or more turns of wire about an axis that is centered of the perimeter of the planar surface of the base or assembly

33, see Fig. 1; paragraphs 30 and 32; that is read on the newly added claim element and limitation: "a source loop solenoid having an axis substantially perpendicular to a planar surface of the base unit" recited in claim 1.

Page 3

Claims 1-8,10-12, 14, 18-20, 22-24 and 26 are therefore stand rejected

4. Claims 27-29 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over published UK Patent Application GB 2,314,470 to Tien in view of Liu as recited in paragraphs 27-30 of the prior Office action.

Liu further teaches that the source loop solenoid 34 is formed of one or more turns of wire about an axis that is centered of the perimeter of the planar surface of the base or assembly 33, see Fig. 1; paragraphs 30 and 32; that is read on the newly added claim element and limitation: "wherein, the magnetic source loop solenoid has an axis substantially perpendicular to a planar surface over which the computer mouse is moved" recited in claim 1.

Claims 27-29 are therefore stand rejected.

- 5. Claims 9, 13, 15, 21, and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimono in view of Liu as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Tien as recited in paragraphs 31-34 of the prior Office action.
- Claims 16 and 17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 6. Shimono in view of Liu as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of US Patent 4,754,268 to Mori as recited in paragraphs 35-36 of the prior Office action.
- 7. Claim 30 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tien in view of Liu as applied to claim 28 above, and further in view of Shimono as recited in paragraphs 36 of the prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,171

Art Unit: 2674

# Response to Arguments

Page 4

8. Applicants' arguments provided in page 6 of the above-identified amendment have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that applicants' claimed invention requires that the source loop solenoid has an axis substantially perpendicular to the planar surface of the base unit.; whereas, the prior art, the Liu's patent teaches a solenoid that has an axis that runs circumferentially around the periphery of a pad, and thus the axis is parallel to the planar surface of the pad, rather than perpendicular as claimed invention. The examiner respectfully disagree because Liu's solenoid 34 runs circumferentially around the periphery of the pad 33, it has an axis parallel to the planar surface of the pad, and an axis perpendicular with the planar surface (Liu says "an axis that is centered in the base or housing of the device" and "the antenna may comprise ... any sort of axis...", see Fig. 1; and paragraph [0032]).

#### Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2674

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry N. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-7760. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick N. Edouard can be reached on 571-272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Henry N Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2674

Henry IV. Trans

8/10/05